

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,527	01/23/2006	Nikolai Alekseevich Baranov	YOU,00001	2442
85129 7590 08/31/2011 SMIRMAN IP LAW, PLLC P.O. BOX 80364			EXAMINER	
			YIP, JACK	
ROCHESTER	, MI 48308		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3715	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/565,527	BARANOV ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JACK YIP	3715				

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 17 August 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

- 1. \(\times \) The reply was filled after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
 - a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 - Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any seried patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF ADEPCA

- NOTICE OF APPEAL

 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____ A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

 AMENDMENTS
- 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
 - (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):
 - (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
 - NOTE: _____. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
- 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
- 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.
- 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
- non-anowapie calam(s).

 Note: The purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
 - The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:
 - Claim(s) objected to:
 - Claim(s) rejected: 17,18,20-22 and 24-31.
 - Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
- AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
- 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 OFR 1.116(e).
- 9. I The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
- 11. \(\simega\) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \(\simega\) See Continuation Sheet.
- Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s), 6/17/2011
- 13. Other: ____

/Kesha Frisby/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 3715

/J. Y./ Examiner, Art Unit 3715 Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, rejection of claims 17, 18, 20-22 and 24 - 31 and the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, rejection of claims 31.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The newly added limitation

The newly added limitation

"a module of visualization including a visualization device is capable of forming the image at least of an area of the aircraft forecasted positions and wake vortex danger areas on the basis of information received from

wherein the image of the area of the aircraft forecasted positions is represented by a first geometric shape;

wherein the image of the wake vortex danger areas is represented by a second geometric shape;

wherein when the first and second geometric shapes intersect, a warning is issued by the warning module." raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.

The 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, rejection of claims 17, 18, 20-22 and 24 - 31 and the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, rejection of claims 31 has been withdrawn in view of the claim amendments.